

Oppenheim's Trickling-Down Theorem

October 12, 2019

1 Oppenheim's Trickling-Down Theorem

A convenient way of certifying that a simplicial complex is a HDX is to show that the links of co-dimension 2 are expanding and all other links of co-dimension 3 or higher are connected. This sufficient condition for high-dimensional expansion was established by Oppenheim [1] and is now commonly referred as Oppenheim's trickling-down Theorem. Oppenheim's result is similar in spirit to the so-called Garland's method and it is a manifestation of a local to global phenomenon. Here, we follow the exposition of Yotam Dikstein from the HDX cluster at Simons.

Towards proving this sufficient condition a key result is the particular case of a simplicial complex of dimension 2.

Theorem 1.1 (dimension two). *Suppose X is a simplicial complex of dimension 2 endowed with a measure Π_2 on the top facets. If*

- (i) *the second largest eigenvalue of every link X_v for $v \in X(0)$ is bounded by λ , and*
- (ii) *the underlying skeleton of X is connected,*

then the skeleton has second largest eigenvalue bounded by $\lambda/(1 - \lambda)$.

We will need two simple results along the way and a bit of notation. For a simplicial complex X and $f: X(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote the restriction $f|_{X_v(0)}$ by f_v . Also, we denote by A_v the adjacency operator of the link of $v \in X(0)$. The first result is "localization", i.e., informally speaking it captures local behavior at the links.

Claim 1.2 (localization). *Let $f, g: X(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then*

- (i) $\langle f, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} f(v)g(v) = \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \mathbb{E}_{u \sim X_v(0)} f(u)g(u)$,
- (ii) $\langle Af, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \langle A_v f_v, g_v \rangle$.

Proof. We start with the first item. Note that sampling a vertex $v \sim X(0)$ can be done equivalently

by sampling an edge $uv \sim X(1)$ and then taking u or v with equal probability. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} f(v)g(v) &= \sum_{uv \in X(1)} f(u)g(u) \frac{1}{2} \Pr[uv] + f(v)g(v) \frac{1}{2} \Pr[uv] \\
&= \sum_{v \in X(0)} \sum_{u \in X_v(0)} f(u)g(u) \frac{1}{2} \Pr[uv] + \sum_{u \in X(0)} \sum_{v \in X_u(0)} f(v)g(v) \frac{1}{2} \Pr[uv] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \sum_{u \in X_v(0)} f(u)g(u) \Pr[uv|v] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{u \sim X(0)} \sum_{v \in X_u(0)} f(v)g(v) \Pr[uv|u] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \mathbb{E}_{u \sim X_v(0)} f(u)g(u) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{u \sim X(0)} \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X_u(0)} f(v)g(v) \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \mathbb{E}_{u \sim X_v(0)} f(u)g(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we proceed to check the second item. Recall that $\langle Af, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{uv \sim X(1)} f(u)g(v)$. The computation is analogous but edges are replaced by triangles and vertices are replaced by edges.

$$\mathbb{E}_{uvw \sim X(1)} f(u)g(v) = \sum_{uvw \in X(1)} f(u)g(v) \frac{1}{3} \Pr[uvw] + f(u)g(w) \frac{1}{3} \Pr[uvw] + f(v)g(w) \frac{1}{3} \Pr[uvw].$$

For simplicity, we only consider the first term on the RHS above. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{uvw \in X(1)} f(u)g(v) \Pr[uvw] &= \sum_{v \in X(0)} \sum_{uw \in X_v(1)} f(u)g(v) \Pr[uvw] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \mathbb{E}_{uw \sim X_v(1)} f(u)g(v) = \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \langle A_v f_v, g_v \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The second result relates the norms f_v parallel to $\mathbf{1}_v$ with Af .

Claim 1.3 (recursion). *Let $f: X(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f_v^\parallel = \langle f_v, \mathbf{1}_v \rangle \cdot \mathbf{1}_v$ for $v \in X(0)$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \langle f_v^\parallel, f_v^\parallel \rangle = \langle Af, Af \rangle.$$

Proof. First, observe that $\langle f_v, \mathbf{1}_v \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{u \sim X_v(0)} f_v(u) = (Af)(v)$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \langle f_v^\parallel, f_v^\parallel \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} (Af)(v)(Af)(v) = \langle Af, Af \rangle.$$

□

Now, we are ready to prove the key result.

Proof of 1.1. Take $f \perp \mathbf{1}$ such that $\langle Af, f \rangle = \gamma$ where γ is the second largest eigenvalue of A .

Decompose f_v as $f_v = f_v^\perp + f_v^\parallel$ where $f_v^\parallel = \langle f_v, \mathbf{1}_v \rangle \cdot \mathbf{1}_v$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma = \langle Af, f \rangle &= \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \langle A_v f_v, f_v \rangle && \text{(localization 1.2)} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \langle f_v^\parallel, f_v^\parallel \rangle + \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \lambda \langle f_v^\perp, f_v^\perp \rangle \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \langle f_v^\parallel, f_v^\parallel \rangle + \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \lambda \langle f_v - f_v^\parallel, f_v - f_v^\parallel \rangle \\
&= (1 - \lambda) \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \langle f_v^\parallel, f_v^\parallel \rangle + \lambda \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \langle f_v, f_v \rangle \\
&= (1 - \lambda) \mathbb{E}_{v \sim X(0)} \langle f_v^\parallel, f_v^\parallel \rangle + \lambda \langle f, f \rangle && \text{(localization 1.2)} \\
&= (1 - \lambda) \langle Af, Af \rangle + \lambda && \text{(recursion 1.3)} \\
&= (1 - \lambda) \gamma^2 + \lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\gamma = 1$ and $\gamma = \lambda/(1 - \lambda)$ are the solutions of the quadratic equation $\gamma = (1 - \lambda)\gamma^2 + \lambda$. Under our assumption that the skeleton is connected, we have $\gamma < 1$ and thus $\gamma \leq \lambda/(1 - \lambda)$. \square

The general condition follows from a simple induction using 1.1.

Theorem 1.4 (Strong). *Suppose X is a simplicial complex of dimension $d \geq 2$ endowed with a measure Π_d on the top facets. If*

- (i) *the second largest eigenvalue of every link X_S for $S \in X(d - 2)$ is bounded by λ , and*
- (ii) *every link X_S for $S \in X(\leq d - 3)$ is connected,*

then the skeleton has second largest eigenvalue bounded by $\lambda/(1 - (d - 1)\lambda)$.

Proof. Let $\lambda_i = \lambda/(1 - i\lambda)$. Using induction and 1.1, for $i = 0, \dots, d - 2$, we can upper bound the second largest eigenvalue λ_i of links of co-dimension $d - i + 1$ by

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i} = \frac{\lambda}{1 - i\lambda} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda/(1 - i\lambda)} = \lambda_{i+1}.$$

\square

References

- [1] Izhar Oppenheim. Local spectral expansion approach to high dimensional expanders part i: Descent of spectral gaps. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 59(2):293–330, Mar 2018.